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INTRODUCTION

The poverty of households headed by women has emerged as an important development issue in the recent past. Evidence from many developing countries, specially in Latin America and Africa, has underlined the economic vulnerability of this group and predicted an increasing incidence of female-headed households in developing societies [Buvinic and Youssef (1978); Kossaudji and Mueller (1983); Merrick and Schmink (1983)]. Among Asian countries sample surveys have revealed a significant proportion of female-headed households in Sri Lanka, Malaysia and some states in India (Visaria 1980).

In the context of Pakistan, research in this area is virtually non-existent. Although the questionnaires of the various censuses do provide information on sex and other characteristics of household heads, this data are not available in tabulated form in any of the census reports. However, a recent survey of 1000 women in Karachi conducted in 1987 makes it possible for the first time to investigate, in detail, the characteristics of female-headed households. The sample of 680 working women and 320 non-working women covered a whole range of social and income classes. Among the 680 working women was included the sub-sample of 100 female heads of households. Combined information was collected on women and their households through a fairly lengthy questionnaire: the interview schedule comprised questions on earnings, ethnic affiliations, education, age, sex, and occupation of all household members, division of domestic responsibilities in the household and employment history of individual women.

This sample of 680 working women will be used to analyse the economic situation of households headed by women relative to households headed by men. The paper will compare income levels, household size and composition and employment patterns in the two sets of households. Further, the study will also investigate differences in income and employment options within the subset of households
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headquartered by women.

DEFINITION OF FEMALE HEADSHIP

Female-headed households are defined as households where women are the sole wage earners. These households could be further identified as de jure or de facto depending on whether the male partner is temporarily away or unemployed (de facto) or whether there is no permanent male head (de jure). The former category includes households where the male head is absent due to migration or illness/disability or unemployment. De jure female heads are permanently without male partners due to divorce, separation or widowhood. Among the 37 de facto female-headed households, 13 comprised of households headed by wives of migrants while the remaining 24 female heads were women whose husbands were not employed due to lack of jobs or because of ill health or old age. Widows and divorcées constituted the majority of female household heads comprising nearly two-thirds of the sample.

The tendency of these women to support themselves reflects the combined influence of male outmigration and the break up of the extended family system. Large-scale outmigation of Pakistani workers to the Middle East had assumed tremendous proportions since the late Seventies with repercussions at the macro level on the economy as well as on the micro level on family structure. Among the widows and divorcees in the sample, nearly 50 percent of the widows and 60 percent of the divorcees were fending for themselves. Thus, more than three-fourths of the female-headed households had to provide economic support to the women. The erosion of the extended family system has been attributed to poverty which makes it very difficult for families to continue providing financial assistance to female relatives. Findings of this survey for all working women, also, indicated a higher incidence of nuclear units among households headed by women comprising of 4.7 persons as compared to a mean of 6.6 persons in households with male headship. To adjust for these differences in household size, household income was also estimated on a per capita basis. The results indicate that the position of male-headed households is still considerably better with a per capita household income of Rs 771 a month as compared to Rs 435 for households headed by females.

The low economic status of households headed by women is the result of both demographic and economic factors. Although their average household size is smaller, the dependency burden, as measured by the non-earners to earners ratio and the children to adults ratio, is much higher among households headed by women than by men. Thus, the non-earners to earners ratio in female-headed households of 3.3 is more than double the corresponding figure of 1.6 for households headed by men. The differences in child-adult ratios, although less marked, still indicate a considerably higher dependency burden for households headed by women as compared to male-headed households.

In economic terms the explanation of the high incidence of poverty in female headed households lies simply in the lower earning capacity of female heads of households. The main source of income in female-headed households are the head's earnings which, on average, comprise 92 percent of total family income. Thus, the occupation and income level of the female head of household determines the level of living of the household.

The women in question are not in any way prepared for their role as the sole economic providers for the family but are forced by circumstances to support themselves. Thus, more than three-fourths of the female-headed households had to take up employment due to a sudden deterioration in their economic situation.
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context it was interesting to note that among the migrants' wives, the most affluent group among women-headed households, there was a greater tendency to prefer the nuclear family while the preference for the joint family was strongest in case of women with non-earning husbands, the most economically vulnerable among the group of female-heads of households.

CONCLUSIONS

The paper was a preliminary attempt to describe the social and economic conditions of households headed by women. The analysis was based on a very small and, also, a purposively selected sample which may lead to some bias in our results, and, therefore, any conclusions that emerge can, at best, be tentative.

The findings indicate that the subset of female heads of households comprises of three distinct groups; wives of migrants, divorcees and widows, and wives of non-earning husbands. While the first group was relatively well-off and employed in white collar jobs, the other two categories - widows and divorcees and wives of non-earning husbands - did, indeed, belong to the poorest strata of society.

Their poverty stemmed partly from a high dependency burden but largely reflected the disadvantaged position of women in the labour market with the exception of a small group of highly educated women. Women heads of households have no prior commitment to their work role and are pushed into the labour market due to unfortunate circumstances. They are uneducated and the only skills they know are related to housework which are worth very meagre wages. Consequently, female heads of households tend to be disproportionately represented in the lowest level jobs.

Further, the findings of the study also indicated that female-headed households were more likely than male-headed households to have daughters take over housework from their mothers usually at the cost of their education. A tendency which would have serious repercussions on the education and earning capacity of the future generation of women in these households confining them to a vicious circle of low level earning activities.

The incidence of households headed by women is very likely to grow in Pakistan since the factors that lead to their emergence, i.e. migration and the break-up of the joint family, are associated with social change and development. Hence, further research is necessary to identify the specific needs of this expanding subset of the urban poor in terms of training, wages and conditions of work, job placement services, day care facilities and other urban services. These are some of the areas which need to be addressed by planners and policy-makers to combat the multiple problems of this group.
Comments on

"Households Headed by Women: Income, Employment and Household Organization"

The paper is a useful addition to research material in an area in which very little Pakistan-specific data exists. It explodes a few myths, about a problem that is considered to be a non-issue by most people and Census Reports. It confirms some assumptions but also has a few grey areas.

The number of female heads of households is considered negligible here, as all women who are divorced or widowed are absorbed into the extended family structure, thus coming under the protection of another male relative, who assumes the role of family head. Even where women assume total economic responsibility, or when men are absent for long periods of time, the man continues to be considered the head of household.

The research is limited to one city and the sample is small, so it cannot be considered nationally representative. However, in the perspective of large cities it gains credibility, in terms of what it establishes with supporting data and what it indicates through inference. It highlights that: women are increasingly becoming economically active as regular wage earners; female-headed households exist here; and economic pressures are undermining family support systems. A common reason for entry into wage labour was a sudden deterioration in the economic situation.

The female households heads ratio of 1 out of 7 in the sample, seems insignificant as compared to the total female work force, but it identifies a hitherto unrecognized fact. Indications are that the number of female-headed households is likely to grow, given the prospective increase in urbanization and its related problems.

Women, especially those from lower socio-economic backgrounds, are generally disadvantaged in terms of development options and economic productivity skills. This holds true in comparison to both - men of similar socio-economic backgrounds, and women of better economic backgrounds. Within the sample, the proportion of women in low-income employment is specially high among widows and wives of non-earning husbands, whose low educational/skill levels limit work options/earning capacity, and they were working out of necessity rather than choice.

One reason that a majority of female-headed households had smaller family sizes and tended to be nuclear can be that, in a household which has more than one unit, with male and female members supporting different units, the male earner will automatically be considered the head, even if the woman's wage is equal or higher. Society does not conceive of women as economic providers so unless there is no other alternative, it is not considered appropriate for dependant relatives to live with women.

Information which would have helped to obtain a clearer perspective of the geographical, social and economic distribution of the sample in Karachi, can only be obtained by going back to the 1987 survey mentioned in the Introduction. Even so, some questions remain unanswered. There is no table establishing linkages between educational qualifications and occupations. The table on occupations contains some broad categories which need further definition, e.g., lower level professionals, informal sector and home workers. Where the husband is a migrant it is not clear whether he is contributing to the family income or not. If he is how can the wife be the sole wage earner?

The dependency ratio in terms of nonearning members was found to be higher in the female-headed households. The research did not cover a comparison in spending patterns which could have given a further indication of the quality of life in female-headed households. This could be a future area for research.

The research confirms that women's involvement in gainful employment does not lessen their domestic responsibilities, unless their income is enough to afford servants, or these responsibilities can be passed on to other female family members. Especially in case of nuclear female-headed households this negatively affects the elder daughter who takes over the housework at the cost of education. There is no indication about the impact on the education of male children or their age of entry into the labour market.

The definition did not mention marital status as a consideration for inclusion in the sample. So it seems strange that there are no single women in the sample, either among the female household heads or as part of male-headed households, since it is generally considered that women work when they are single and stop working after marriage.

The findings imply "repercussions on the education and earning capacity of the future generations of women in these households". These repercussions are imminent not only for these households. The majority of our women are ill prepared to effectively support themselves and their families should the need arise. A follow up of this research could be a more detailed elaboration about the possible impact of women's economic dependency on the nation's overall development and the quality of life of future generations of men and women.

Apart from the paper's recommendation for further research in urban areas, other requirements are - linking these findings to existing research on related issues.