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INTRODUCTION

Poverty is a widespread problem that particularly afflicts developing countries. A number of studies, such as Naseem (1973, 1977); Allaudin (1975); Mujahid (1978); Irfan and Anjumad (1984); Cheema (1985); Malik (1988); Ercelawn (1990); Ahmad and Ludlow (1989); Havinga et al. (1990, 1990a); Malik (1992, 1994); Zaidi and de Vos (1993); Malik and Shirazi (1994); and Gazdar et al. (1994), have analysed the phenomena of poverty in Pakistan. Some of these studies are based on arbitrarily chosen poverty-lines, and others are based on poverty-lines determined on the basis of nutritional requirements or basic needs of human beings.

The incidence of poverty is greatly influenced by the poverty-line used for a particular study. Therefore, the way a poverty-line is defined is of great significance in determining the incidence of poverty.

In Islamic literature, certain limits for poverty and prosperity have been prescribed. Fuqaha like Imam Abu Yousuf consider a person prosperous if he owns wealth equivalent to or more than the amount of Nisab. A person is considered to be poor if he owns wealth less than the amount of Nisab.¹ Nisab for gold was equal to 20 Miskals and Nisab for silver was 200 dirhams, which were equivalent to 140 Miskals in weight.²

Some other Fuqaha, in connection with determining different lump-sum amounts of Jizyah to be assessed for the prosperous, the middle-class, and the poor Zimmies, have considered those as prosperous persons who owned wealth equivalent to ten thousand dirhams or more, those owning wealth equivalent to two hundred dirhams but less than ten thousand dirhams, as middle-class persons, and those owning less than two hundred dirhams, i.e., the amount of Nisab³ as poor persons.
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²In Pakistan and India, Ulema have converted these amounts to 7.5 tolas of gold and 52.5 tolas of silver. During the time of the Prophet (peace be upon him) and his rightly-guided caliphs, the ratio of the value of gold to silver was 1 to 7. At present, this ratio in Pakistan is about 1 to 61.
Keeping in view the above opinions of the fuqaha, the amount of Nisab can be used as poverty-line for ascertaining the incidence of poverty. Average of Nisab of gold and silver is used as poverty-line in this study. Keeping in view this poverty-line incidence, the severity of poverty and the poverty gaps are computed for overall rural and urban areas of Pakistan, and for overall areas of four of its provinces for the years 1987-88 and 1990-91. This study would be helpful in locating the poor and in ascertaining the intensity of the poverty and the poverty gap in Pakistan and in its four provinces.

**IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY OF POVERTY FROM THE ISLAMIC POINT OF VIEW**

Islamic economic system strives to wipe out poverty and hunger because these might lead to apostasy and might even end up in disrupting the family and social life. The Prophet (Allah’s peace be upon him) used to say “Allah, I ask Thy refuge from apostasy and poverty”. Thereupon a person enquired, “Are the two similar?”. The Prophet (peace be upon him ) said, “Yes”.

Noamani (1982) has reported another Hadith of the Prophet (peace be upon him) that “Poverty may take a person closer to unbelief (Kufr)”. Islam has stressed that each individual should have access to a reasonable amount of goods and services, which are necessary for sustenance of life, so that he might lead a life of peace and be able to meet his obligations and duties to Allah. Keeping in view the above-mentioned objectives of Islam, it is essential that the problem of poverty may be studied and appropriate Islamic measures for the eradication of poverty may be adopted.

**METHODOLOGY AND THE DATA USED**

In order to know the incidence of poverty, the first step is to determine the poverty-line and then to use some indices that give poverty estimates. For this purpose, we shall use the absolute notion of a poverty-line [see Shirazi (1994)]. As explained in the Introduction, the amount of Nisab has been used as poverty-line in this study. The amount of Nisab for the year 1987-88 comes up to Rs 14777.08, and for the year 1990-91 to Rs 14875.24. As an average household size of six (i.e., 2 adults and 4 children) is assumed by some other researchers like Ahmad (1993) and Gazdar et al. (1994), we have also assumed the same average household size. With a view to getting per capita poverty-lines, the above-mentioned Nisab based amounts were divided by

As Fuqaha allow for mixing of silver and gold for the purposes of Nisab, and as our purpose is to ascertain the incidence of poverty, therefore, average of the two nisabs is taken as poverty-line in this study. Nisab is an indicator of wealth, but data on wealth is not available for the Pakistan economy. Therefore, the households’ annual income equivalent to the Nisab is used as the proxy poverty-line for estimating the incidence of poverty in Pakistan. There are some indications in Islamic literature that wealth-equivalent to Nisab could be enough to sustain an average family for over a year.
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six. Thus per capita *Nisab*-based poverty-lines of Rs 2462.8476 for 1987-88 and Rs 2479.2071 for 1990-91 were used in this study.

For estimating the incidence of poverty in Pakistan, we have used head-count, P0, poverty gap, P1, and Foster, Greer and Thorbecke (1984) poverty measure, P2, known as FGT poverty measure.

These poverty measures are explained below:

\[ P_0 = \frac{q}{n} \]

is the head-count measure, where \( q \) is the number of households below the poverty-line and \( n \) is the total number of households. This measure gives the proportion of the poor households among the total households.

\[ P_1 = \frac{q}{n} \cdot \frac{z - y_i}{z} \]

where \( z \) is the poverty-line and \( y_i \) is the income of \( i \)th poor household.

\[ P_1 \] is a measure of the poverty gap, and this measure gives the extent to which the incomes of the poor lie below the poverty-line as a percentage of the poverty line. This measure can be used to compute the amount of income needed to fill the poverty gap with a view to eradicating poverty.

\[ P_2 = \frac{n}{q} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{Z - y_i}{Z} \right)^2 \]

\( P_2 \) gives the mean squared proportionate poverty gap, and it reflects the degree of inequality of income among the poor. The higher the value of \( P_2 \), the greater will be the inequality of income among the poor and poverty.

The data given in the Household Income and Expenditure Survey (HIES) (1987-88) and the Household Integrated Economic Survey (HIES) (1990-91) were used in this study. The HIES is conducted by the Federal Bureau of Statistics (FBS), Statistic Division, Government of Pakistan, on an annual basis, covering both rural and urban areas in the four provinces of Pakistan except the Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA), military-restricted areas, district of Kohistan, Chitral and Malakand, and protected area of the NWFP. The households entirely dependent on charity are excluded from this survey. HIES 1987-88 was based on a national sample, of 18144 households. The sample size of HIES 1990-91 was 6516 households, out of which 123 households could not be covered by the FBS for various reasons. The sample size of HIES 1990-91 is small as compared to the HIES 1987-88. It is pointed out by FBS that the HIES 1990-91 data are reliable with the rural-urban breakdown only at the national
level, and the data belonging to provinces is less reliable so far as the urban-rural breakdown is concerned.

Keeping in view the above caution given by the FBS, the data for overall, rural and urban, areas of Pakistan were used in this study. For provinces, only overall data were used and their rural-urban breakdown was ignored.

**INCIDENCE OF POVERTY**

Using the *Nisab*-based per capita poverty-line and the HIES Data, the estimates for poverty indices, \( P_0 \), \( P_1 \), \( P_2 \), were obtained for the years 1987-88 and 1990-91, which are reported in Tables 1 and 2. As is evident from Table 1, the estimates for the year 1987-88 show that 22.4 percent of the overall households, 12.3 percent of the urban and 26.3 percent of the rural households in Pakistan were poor in 1987-88. The highest incidence of poverty was found in Punjab (25.5 percent), followed by the NWFP (23.9 percent) and Sindh (15.3 percent), and the lowest incidence of poverty was in Balochistan (10.9 percent).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( P_0 )</th>
<th>( P_1 )</th>
<th>( P_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sindh</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWFP</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balochistan</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( P_0 )</th>
<th>( P_1 )</th>
<th>( P_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sindh</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWFP</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balochistan</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The poverty gap (P1) was 4.5 percent overall, 2.2 percent for the urban areas, and 5.4 percent for the rural areas of Pakistan. Among the provinces, the highest poverty gap was for Punjab (5.4 percent), followed by the NWFP (4.9 percent), and the poverty gap was the lowest (1.7 percent) for Balochistan. The same pattern was observed for P2 in the case of Pakistan and across the provinces.

Table 2 reports the estimates of poverty indices for the year 1990-91. The incidence of poverty was 13.0 percent in overall, 7.2 percent in the urban and 15.6 percent in the rural areas of Pakistan in 1990-91. Across the provinces, the highest incidence was found in the NWFP (21.5 percent), followed by Punjab (13.2 percent), and the lowest (5.4 percent) in Balochistan.

The poverty gap, P1, was found to be 3.66 percent for overall, 2.51 percent for the urban, and 4.17 percent for the rural areas of Pakistan. Across provinces, the highest P1 (5.95 percent) was found in the case of the NWFP, 3.45 percent in Punjab, and 3.30 percent in Sindh; the lowest (2.31 percent) poverty gap was found in Balochistan. P2 was 3.18 for overall, 3.74 percent for the urban and 2.93 percent for the rural areas of Pakistan. The highest, P2, was found for the NWFP (5.36 percent), and the lowest (2.35 percent) for Balochistan.

TREND IN POVERTY

The comparison of estimates reported in Tables 1 and 2 shows that the incidence of poverty as reflected by the estimates of head-count, P0, significantly declined between the years 1987-88 and 1990-91. These tables show that poverty declined by 9.4 percent, i.e., from 22.4 percent in 1987-88 to 13 percent in 1990-91 in overall, 5.1 percent in the urban, and 10.7 percent in the rural areas of Pakistan.

Estimates and conclusions of other studies, such as Malik (1994) and Gazdar et al. (1994), indicate that the incidence of poverty in Pakistan remained stagnant during 1987-88 and 1990-91. Our study shows a declining trend in poverty. This could be due to the fact that we have used the gold and silver Nisab-based poverty-line, and the prices of gold and silver remained very stable between the years 1987-88 and 1990-91. Therefore, the poverty-lines estimated for the year 1987-88 and 1990-91 were not significantly different. The other studies were based on poverty-lines derived from nutritional and basic needs, the prices of which increased significantly during the period under study.

The comparison of the estimates in Tables 1 and 2 also reveals that poverty gap, P1, declined from 4.5 percent to 3.66 percent for overall Pakistan from 1987-88 to 1990-91. In the case of urban areas of Pakistan, it slightly increased, while in the case of rural areas it declined from 5.4 percent to 4.17 percent. In the case of Punjab, poverty gap declined from 5.4 percent to 3.45, while in the case of Sindh, the NWFP, and Balochistan poverty gap somewhat increased.
The comparison of the P2 estimates indicates that P2 in all cases increased, which reflects that inequality in the distribution of income among the poor has increased between the years 1987-88 and 1990-91.
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